SNAP for U: Food insecurity and SNAP use among college students, including institution type differences

Matthew Chrisman ^{a *} University of Missouri-Kansas City

Andrea Cullers ^b
Missouri Southern State University

Candace Rodman ^c and Allene Gremaud ^d University of Missouri Extension Gil Salgado ^e Missouri Southern State University

Kelsey Gardiner ^f University of Missouri-Kansas City

Submitted December 13, 2023 / Revised March 8 and April 18, 2024 / Accepted May 7, 2024 / Published online August 29, 2024

Citation: Chrisman, M., Cullers, A., Rodman, C., Gremaud, A., Salgado, G., & Gardiner, K. (2024). SNAP for U: Food insecurity and SNAP use among college students, including institution type differences. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*, 13(4), 239–258. https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2024.134.003

Copyright © 2024 by the Authors. Published by the Lyson Center for Civic Agriculture and Food Systems. Open access under CC BY license.

Abstract

The objective of this study was to examine food insecurity and knowledge of the Supplemental

- ^{a*} Corresponding author: Matthew Chrisman, PhD, Associate Professor, School of Nursing and Health Studies; University of Missouri-Kansas City; 2464 Charlotte; Kansas City, MO 64108 USA; +1-816-235-5709; chrismanms@umkc.edu; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2094-7301
- b Andrea Cullers, PhD, RD, Associate Professor, Department of Kinesiology, Missouri Southern State University;

 https://orcid.org/0009-0008-7663-4508
- https://orcid.org/0009-0008-7663-4508; cullers-a@mssu.edu
- ^c Candace Rodman, MS, Nutrition & Health Field Specialist, University of Missouri Extension, Columbia, MO; https://orgid.org/0009-0007-3407-4998
- https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3407-4998; c.rodman@missouri.edu
- ^d Allene Gremaud, MS, Nutrition & Health Field Specialist, University of Missouri Extension, Columbia, MO; agremaud@missouri.edu
- ^e Gil Salgado, MSW, Research Assistant, Department of Kinesiology, Missouri Southern State University; salgado-g@mssu.edu

Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), including barriers to and facilitators for enrolling in SNAP among college students in the Midwest. An observational, cross-sectional online survey was administered during Fall 2021 to Spring 2022. Participants included 844 college students from nine higher education institutions across Missouri. Of students

f Kelsey Gardiner, PhD, Assistant Professor, School of Nursing and Health Studies, University of Missouri-Kansas City; 2464 Charlotte; Kansas City, MO 64108 USA; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7687-7752;

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7687-7752; kgardiner@umkc.edu

Author Note

A small portion of this study's results were presented at the 2023 American Society for Nutrition annual meeting, and in a webinar hosted by the Missouri Council for Activity and Nutrition in April 2023.

Funding Disclosure

Funding for this study was provided by the Fahs-Beck Foundation of the New York Community Trust

Declaration of Interest Statement

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

who participated, 44.9% reported being food insecure, 67.9% reported knowing what SNAP was, and only 34.3% knew if they were eligible for SNAP. Students at private colleges [unadjusted odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) = 4.79 (2.45-9.36), p < 0.001), community colleges [OR 3.21 95% CI (2.26-4.56), p<0.001)], technical schools [OR 2.21 95% CI (1.08-4.53), p=0.031], and Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) [OR 2.05 95% CI (1.37-3.07)., p<0.001] reported higher odds of food security compared to public institutions. College students reported lack of knowledge of SNAP in general as the main barrier for enrollment and campus assistance as the main facilitator. Food insecurity is highly prevalent in Missouri college students, where SNAP knowledge and enrollment barriers persist. Findings support a need for increased attention and resources to target college food insecurity.

Keywords

food security, food insecurity, SNAP, college students

Introduction

Food insecurity in college students is a widespread problem with implications for academic and public health outcomes (Berger et al., 2022; Nazmi et al., 2019). In 2022, the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) reported an estimated 12.8% of U.S. households experienced food insecurity (USDA, 2023a). Food insecurity can be defined as "unable to acquire adequate food for one or more household members because they had insufficient money and other resources for food" (Coleman-Jensen et al., 2018, p. 6). Research shows a significantly higher risk of food insecurity in college students than the general public due to collegespecific factors, which include financial instability, housing issues, childhood history of food insecurity, racial/ethnic backgrounds, first-generation students, as well as women, LGBTQIA+, and minority status (Budowle et al., 2023; Esaryk et al., 2021; Henry et al., 2023; Leung et al., 2019; Nazmi et al., 2019; Sackey et al., 2021; Weaver et al., 2020). Additionally, some research suggests that the societal position of being a college student may promote inequities that support food insecurity

(Darby et al., 2023). Long-term effects of college food insecurity, which can include learning deficits, lower graduation rates, diminished diet quality leading to poor dietary behaviors, and mental health and social issues (Hagedorn-Hatfield et al., 2022), highlight the need to address this problem.

Federal food assistance programs like the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP; also known as "food stamps") can help alleviate food insecurity concerns among college students. However, until recently, college students faced more strict eligibility criteria for SNAP based on income and enrollment guidelines (Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 2016). Due to the effect of COVID-19 on food systems, employment, and higher education, SNAP guidelines were loosened and millions of additional college students became eligible. However, it is unclear if expansion of benefits has led to an increase in utilization of these government assistance programs. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, it was reported that 28.5% of students at an urban university were food insecure, with only 4.7% of those having used SNAP (Sackey et al., 2021).

There is a lack of research looking at college student enrollment in SNAP, as well as main barriers and facilitators for students enrolling in the program (Esaryk et al., 2022). A 2017 study involving 70 community colleges across 24 states found a food insecurity rate of 56%, yet literature is lacking on comparison by institution type (e.g. public vs. private vs. community college) (Blagg et al., 2017). Data on SNAP usage by higher education institution type could help create specialized food security services for college students (Bianchi, 2019). However, state-administered community colleges disproportionally serve low-income households (Burnside et al., 2021), and it has been noted that in single and multicampus studies of college food insecurity, rates vary greatly by institution type (Dickinson, 2022). Further, few studies have examined this issue among students at HBCUs (Kornbluh et al., 2022). Thus, there is a need to examine differences by institution type when making policy recommendations regarding federal food assistance among college students.

Better understanding these factors will help in the development of policy and systems changes to improve utilization of federal food assistance programs like SNAP, thereby reducing food insecurity, and potentially improving academic and health outcomes in college students. Food insecurity and SNAP across multiple higher education institutions has not been specifically studied statewide in Missouri. One primary focus of this study is to examine food insecurity, which is defined as the inability to acquire adequate food for one or more household members because of insufficient money and other resources for food (Coleman-Jensen et al., 2018), as differentiated from food security, defined as "access by all [people] at all times to enough food for an active, healthy life" (USDA ERS, 2023b, "What is food security?" para. 1). Both terms are used in this manuscript given that the USDA Food Security Survey Module, which was used in this study, measures both.

Therefore, the purposes of this study were to:
1) Examine food insecurity in a wide sample of
Missouri college students; 2) explore use and
knowledge of SNAP by the students; 3) describe
perceived barriers to and facilitators for enrolling in
and using SNAP, and 4) explore group differences
in food insecurity and SNAP use and knowledge
by higher education institution type.

Methods

This was a cross-sectional, observational study titled *SNAP for U*, which used an online survey to examine food insecurity, knowledge and use of SNAP, and barriers to and facilitators for enrolling in and using SNAP among college students in Missouri. Consent to participate was indicated by students completing the survey. Study procedures were reviewed and approved by the Missouri Southern State University (MSSU) Institutional Review Board (IRB). The IRB approval provided coverage to research team members at MSSU and other participating institutions.

Instrument

The online survey was developed by the study team and used a mix of existing instruments and study specific questions. See Appendix A for the full survey instrument. It was administered using Qualtrics software. The survey instrument contained 51 questions across the following sections:

- Demographics (9 total questions on age, gender, grade, race and ethnicity, selfreported height and weight, annual income separate from parents, and household receipt of food stamps in the past 12 months);
- (2) Food insecurity status, assessed via the USDA Food Security Survey Module (11 questions including a screener question) (USDA Economic Research Service, 2012) plus one question on whether the amount of food in the household was affected by COVID-19 (response options included amount of food has been reduced a lot, a little, has not changed, has increased a little, or increased a lot);
- (3) Knowledge and use of SNAP including 16 questions developed by others (Fordham & Baldridge, n.d.) plus an additional question developed by the team to assess agreement with government spending on SNAP; and
- (4) Barriers (1 question with 16 select all that apply choices) and facilitators (1 question with 11 select all that apply choices) for enrolling and using SNAP, which were developed by the study team using the literature and pretesting (see below).

Self-reported height and weight were converted into BMI and examined for the mean and standard deviation (SD) as well as the BMI categories set forth by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, 2024). All items were scored in accordance with their published guidelines. The USDA questionnaire has demonstrated accuracy in predicting food insecurity in college students (Nikolaus et al., 2019).

One author (AC) pilot-tested the survey instrument with a sample of 15 college students to examine any wording or technical issues and the average completion time. No major revisions were needed, and the average completion time was 15 minutes.

Recruitment

A total of 13 universities or colleges across the state were invited to participate. The goal was to obtain two schools and 250 combined students per

institution type (eight schools, 1,000 students total) across public, private, community college, and technical college settings. Four institutions were invited and chose not to participate, they were three community colleges and one private university. Institutions that did not respond to the invitation to participate included three private institutions, eight community colleges, and one technical school. This method allowed for food insecurity examination within and across each institution type. Students were recruited to complete the survey via each individual campus liaison, which included administrators, faculty members, and members of campus food services. Campus liaisons shared the electronic survey via email link and QR code made available on a recruitment flyer specific to each institution. Any student was eligible, regardless of whether they were food insecure.

All participants who completed the survey and provided a valid, institution-associated email address were provided with a \$10 electronic gift card as an incentive for participating.

Analyses

Descriptive data are presented as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and means with standard deviations (SD) for continuous variables. Chi-square analyses and multiple logistic regression were used to analyze the relationship between food security and institution type. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 27.0 on all available non-missing cases. Missing data were handled by pairwise deletion to use the majority of the data collected. The significance level was set at *p*-value < 0.05 in all relevant group comparisons.

Results

A total of 1166 students opened the survey. Responses were removed if they included 2% or less of any responses (n = 86), duplicates (those who tried to complete the survey from the same IP address twice or more, n = 204), and those with unlikely IP addresses (e.g. same longitude and latitude, n = 32). The final sample included 844 responses. The majority were female (n = 508, 60.5%), white/Caucasian (n = 622, 73.7%), and underclass (freshmen or sophomores; n = 464,

55%). Of the 13 institutions invited, nine participated, which resulted in a 69% participation rate by institution. Of the nine participating institutions, three were public, two were private, one was a community college, two were technical schools, and one was an HBCU. See Table 1 for complete demographics. Of the four nonparticipating institutions that were invited, three were community colleges and one was a private university. Institutions that did not respond included three private institutions, eight community colleges, and one technical school.

Food Insecurity

A total of 379 (44.9%) students reported being food insecure per the USDA Food Security Survey Module, and 465 (55.1%) were food secure. A total of 304 students (36%) reported their household received food stamp benefits in the previous 12 months. When asked whether COVID-19 affected the amount of food in their household, 390 (48%) reported it reduced their food by a little or a lot (Table 2).

Results indicate a clear and significant association between the type of school and food insecurity, with all institution types except for public demonstrating more food security than food insecurity. Multiple logistic regression revealed significantly higher odds of students being food secure in private institutions, HBCU, community colleges, and technical schools, compared to students in public institutions (Table 3).

Knowledge and Use of SNAP

A total of 505 students (67.9%) responded "yes" when asked if they knew what SNAP was, but only 257 (34.3%) knew if they were eligible for SNAP and 339 (45.4%) knew where to enroll in the program. When asked to rate their agreement with the statement that "the national government should spend more money to support people on the SNAP program," 465 students (62.1%) reported agreeing or strongly agreeing and 65 (8.7%) reported disagreeing or strongly disagreeing. Table B1 shows these results (Appendix B). Those who were food insecure were more likely than food secure students to know what SNAP was (Chisquare = 16.2, p < 0.001), participate in SNAP

https://foodsystemsjournal.org

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of 2021–2022 Missouri College Student Participants in the SNAP for U Study

Variable		n,% or, mean, SD
Age $(n = 775)$	Mean age (range: 16-57)	23.5 (6.2)
Gender (N = 839)	Male	322 (38.4%)
	Female	508 (60.5%)
	Other	9 (1.1%)
Grade (n = 843)	Freshman	226 (26.8%)
	Sophomore	238 (28.2%)
	Junior	77 (9.1%)
	Senior	105 (12.5%)
	Graduate student	144 (17.1%)
	Other	53 (6.3%)
Race/Ethnicity (n = 839)	White/Caucasian	622 (73.7%)
	Black/African American	139 (16.5%)
	Hispanic	45 (5.3%)
	Asian	20 (2.4%)
	American Indian	14 (1.7%)
	Alaskan Native	13 (1.5%)
	Other	10 (1.2%)
	Multiple	28 (3.3%)
Annual income (n = 841)	US\$0-10,000	420 (49.8%)
	US\$11,000-20,000	180 (21.3%)
	US\$21,000-30,000	140 (16.6%)
	US\$31,000 +	101 (12.0%)
Higher education type $(n = 844)$	Public	412 (48.8%)
	HBCU	130 (15.4%)
	Private	54 (6.4%)
	Community college	214 (25.4%)
	Tech school	34 (4.0%)
Body Mass Index (BMI) (n = 788)	Range: 14.5-59.5 (kg/m²)	26.67 (6.9)
BMI category (n = 775)	Normal and/or underweight	400 (51.6%)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Overweight	171 (22.1%)
	Obese	204 (26.3%)

(Chi-square = 60.3, p < 0.001), know if they were eligible for SNAP (Chi-square = 39.5, p < 0.001), and know where to enroll in SNAP (Chi-square = 22.0, p < 0.001).

Barriers and Facilitators to Enrolling in and Using SNAP

The most frequently reported barriers were lack of knowledge about SNAP (n = 520, 61.6%), perceived ineligibility for SNAP (n = 328, 38.9%), negative stigma associated with SNAP (n = 315, 37.3%), and lack of assistance for enrolling in SNAP (n = 254, 30.1%). The most frequently reported facilitators were university assistance to enroll in SNAP (n = 429, 50.8%), available resources on campus (n = 416, 49.3%), easy to

determine eligibility (n = 369, 43.7%), and clear information for how to enroll (n = 349, 41.4%). The full list of perceived barriers and facilitators reported by the students for enrolling in and using SNAP are shown in Table 4.

Discussion

This timely observational study examined food insecurity, knowledge and use of SNAP, and barriers to and facilitators for using SNAP benefits among a large, diverse sample of students attending college in the Midwest. Important findings include the high proportion of food insecurity, greater odds of being food insecure in public institutions, and low awareness of SNAP eligibility. Results also revealed important perceived barriers and facilita-

tors for enrolling in and using SNAP. Although we did not achieve our goal of two schools per institution type, a variety of higher education institutions were successfully recruited to participate.

Food Insecurity

Almost 45% of college students in this study reported being food insecure, falling on the high end of reported findings, which range from 14% to 56% (Freudenberg et al., 2011; Gaines et al., 2014; Goldrick-Rab et al., 2017; Miller et al., 2019; Morris et al., 2016; Nazmi et al., 2019; Ryan et al., 2022). In comparison, the most recent prevalence estimates from the USDA showed that 10.2% of U.S. households were food insecure in 2021 (USDA ERS, 2023a), thus indicating how food insecurity is

a wide-reaching problem for students in higher education.

Institutional comparisons are scarce in the literature. Students at public institutions in this study reported higher rates of food insecurity when compared to other institution types, although one private, urban university reported a comparable rate amongst students (41%) (Ryan et al., 2022). Another study of students at a public university found that food insecurity was associated with receiving financial aid, some form of food assistance, or being financially independent, and that food security was associated with financial support from one's family and having credit cards (Gaines et al., 2014). These risk factors for food insecurity at public institutions may be less common at pri-

Table 2. Food Insecurity of Missouri College Students in the 2021–2022 SNAP for U Study, Including Use of Food Assistance, the USDA Food Security Survey Module, and Whether COVID-19 Changed the Amount of Food in their Household

Variable		n,%
Receive food stamp benefits in previous 12 months ($n = 842$)	Yes	304 (36.0%)
	No	503 (59.6%)
	Not sure/Don't know	35 (4.1%)
Food security status (<i>n</i> = 844)	High food security	297 (35.2%)
	Marginal food security	168 (19.9%)
	Low food security	163 (19.3%)
	Very low food security	216 (25.6%)
Food insecurity status (based on the 4 categories above) (<i>n</i> = 844)	Food secure	465 (55.1%)
	Food insecure	379 (44.9%)
Did amount of food in your household change since start of COVID-19 (n = 813)	Reduced a lot	86 (10.2%)
	Reduced a little	304 (36.0%)
	No change	343 (40.6%)
	Increased a little	67 (7.9%)
	Increased a lot	13 (1.5%)

Table 3. Association Between Institution Type and Odds of Being Food Secure among 2021–2022 Missouri College Students in the SNAP for U Study

Institution type	Food secure (n, %)	Food insecure (n, %)	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)*	<i>p</i> -value
Public	174 (42.2%)	238 (57.8%)	Reference	_
HBCU	78 (60%)	52 (40%)	2.05 (1.37-3.07)	<0.001
Private	42 (77.8%)	12 (22.2%)	4.79 (2.45-9.36)	<0.001
Community college	150 (70.1%)	64 (29.9%)	3.21 (2.26-4.56)	<0.001
Tech school	21 (61.8%)	13 (38.2%	2.21 (1.08-4.53)	0.031

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; HBCU = Historically Black College or University

^{*}compared to the reference category

vate schools, potentially resulting in a lower rate of food insecurity. Additionally, financial aid programs may differ by institution type (Parthemer, 2020). Possible explanations for this are unknown, and future research should explore the role that higher education institution types and characteristics (e.g. financial aid availability), along with student characteristics, have in food security. It should

Table 4. Perceived Barriers or Challenges, and Perceived Facilitators or Helpful Factors, for Enrolling in and Using SNAP Benefits Reported by 2021–2022 Missouri College Students*

Barrier or challenge	n, % selecting that barrier
Lack of knowledge about SNAP	520 (61.6%)
Not eligible for SNAP benefits	328 (38.9%)
Negative stigma associated with enrolling or using SNAP benefits	315 (37.3%)
Lack of assistance for enrolling in SNAP	254 (30.1%)
Lack of access to SNAP	250 (29.6%)
Unsure of where to purchase food using SNAP funds	192 (22.7%)
Takes too long to determine eligibility	184 (21.8%)
Unsure of what foods are allowed under SNAP	178 (21.1%)
Lack transportation to retailers that accept SNAP funds	174 (20.6%)
Unsure how to answer SNAP application questions	170 (20.1%)
None of my friends or family are enrolled in SNAP	159 (18.8%)
Lack of time to enroll in SNAP	154 (18.2%)
Lack of accessibility due to COVID-19	144 (17.1%)
Not safe due to COVID-19 concerns	105 (12.4%)
Lack transportation to enroll in SNAP	97 (11.5%)
Lack government-issued ID	73 (8.6%)

Facilitator or helpful factor	n, % selecting that facilitator
University assistance to enroll in SNAP	429 (50.8%)
Available resources on campus	416 (49.3%)
Easy to determine eligibility	369 (43.7%)
Clear information for how to enroll in SNAP	349 (41.4%)
Clear information for where to use SNAP benefits	280 (33.2%)
State website for enrolling in SNAP	276 (32.7%)
Available community resources to enroll in SNAP	265 (31.4%)
I have friends and/or family enrolled in SNAP	211 (25.0%)
University website for SNAP assistance	199 (23.6%)
Having multiple sites to submit SNAP applications	193 (22.9%)
Having transportation to get to SNAP location	168 (19.9%)

 $[\]ensuremath{^{\star}}$ Students were able to select all that apply on survey

be noted that the highest prevalence of food insecurity (56%) in higher education has been reported among students attending two-year community colleges (Goldrick-Rab et al., 2017).

With 74.1% of college students attending public schools, and public institutions having the highest food insecurity rates, a significant portion of college students could be at risk for food insecurity

(Hanson, 2022). In 2018, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) estimated that Pell Grants cover less than 20% of the mean cost of attending a 4-year institution of higher education (U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2019). Students with food insecurity are more likely to have a lower GPA (Morris et al., 2016; Patton-López et al., 2014; van Woerden et al., 2019), experience at least one adverse academic experience, have difficulty concentrating in class (Farahbakhsh et al., 2017), experience lower retention rates, and report poor selfrated health, excess weight or obesity, and depressive symptoms (Willis, 2021).

Further, research on college campuses has reported that students who were enrolled in more than 13 credit hours were significantly more likely to be food insecure (Hanna, 2014). In addition, Black and Latino students were more likely to be food insecure compared to White and Asian students (Freudenberg et al., 2011), and members of the LGBTQIA+ community may experience additional need (Henry et al., 2023). Moreover, students who are parents were more likely to be food insecure than their non-parenting

counterparts (Goldrick-Rab et al., 2017). These findings highlight the importance of addressing known barriers and facilitators, in order to help higher education institutions aid their students in gaining access to healthful foods.

Combating food insecurity on college campuses is not new; some college campuses have aimed to reduce food insecurity through food pantries to provide additional aid outside of government food assistance programs. Food pantries are a growing option on campuses, with 45% of existing pantries opening in the past five years (Swipe Out Hunger, 2022). Full-time students with outside employment were more likely to visit college food pantries (Esaryk et al., 2021). Further, students that are first-generation, housing insecure, and receiving needs-based financial aid are reported as higher users at university food pantries (Esaryk et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2021). This research indicates important subgroups of college students that may be eligible for SNAP but not utilizing it.

Knowledge and Use of SNAP

The SNAP eligibility requirements were expanded for college students in 2020 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, yet our findings underscore a gap in student knowledge of the SNAP program. Fewer than half of study participants knew eligibility requirements or how to apply for the program, and 43% of students reported ever using SNAP in their lifetime. Up to 18% of SNAP applications are denied due to administrative errors (Miller et al., 2019), which could contribute to lower participation rates among this population. It is encouraging that almost half the college student sample in this study reported knowing where they could enroll in SNAP. Further improving this awareness could provide continued benefit. Students who were food insecure generally had more knowledge about SNAP than those who were food secure, which may indicate that those who are food insecure have already received information on SNAP.

While campus food pantries have increased in number, pantry assistance is meant to provide temporary or emergency assistance (Esaryk et al., 2022) during crisis, while SNAP enrollment has been linked to longer term economic and health benefits and increases in food security status (Food

Research and Action Center, 2022). Others have noted that university responses focus on emergency support (Shisler et al., 2023), and argue that campus food banks should not be the solution to student hunger. Rather, it is argued that university or government programs and policies are needed to improve food security (Farahbakhsh et al., 2017; Nazmi et al., 2019). As a result, the recent National Strategy on Hunger, Nutrition and Health (September 2022) aims to prioritize increases in SNAP outreach and education to eligible college students (The White House, 2022). Of note, the majority of college students in our study (62.1%) were supportive of money being spent on these types of programs. Others have noted that participation in SNAP decreased perceived food insecurity by almost half (Nazmi et al., 2023), providing hopeful results for future work in this area.

Barriers and Facilitators to Enrolling in and Using SNAP

The top barriers to and facilitators for enrolling in SNAP indicated the need for additional SNAP education and enrollment services offered by higher education institutions on-campus. The utilization of resources like the College SNAP Project (https://collegesnapproject.org/), which provides the latest information to college students for enrolling in SNAP, could prove helpful with these needs. The current application process is cumbersome and time-consuming (Asada et al., 2023), creating barriers in assessing eligibility. Implementation of an eligibility screener could streamline the student enrollment process.

Stigma is a known barrier preventing college students from using SNAP (Miller et al., 2019; U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2019). In the *SNAP for U* study, negative stigma was the third most reported barrier to enrolling in and using SNAP. Further research is needed to understand strategies for overcoming this barrier to aid in efforts promoting SNAP usage and enrollment.

Strengths and Limitations

One major strength of the study was the inclusion of a large, diverse sample of college students representing multiple higher education institution types. This diversity allowed for an examination of a variety of perspectives on food insecurity and use of SNAP among college students across institutions, strengthening the literature in this field. Limitations include the cross-sectional data, which inhibits causal associations, and self-report strategy, which may be limited by recall or response bias (e.g. perhaps students were more likely to participate if they had previous experience with SNAP). Small sample sizes from private colleges and technical schools limit generalizability to those populations, and we did not make comparisons by academic ranking or quality of the institutions. Additionally, this study was done among students from higher education institutions in a single Midwestern state, and factors influencing food insecurity may not be generalizable to other regions. Further, in the absence of more rigorous methods to ensure generalizability, data may not be representative of all college students in Missouri. It has been noted that much of the work in college food insecurity includes low response rates and lack of generalizability (Dickinson, 2022), indicating a need for more rigorous studies in this area. We found that recruiting college students to participate in surveys proved difficult at some institutions, and a large number of students completed the survey twice or more, perhaps trying to obtain an extra gift card. Different communication avenues, such as social media and special interest groups, or marketing strategies at existing campus events, may improve future response rates. Future research on this would be beneficial for increasing college student participation in research.

Conclusions

This study suggests institutions can play a major role in aiding in college student knowledge, enrollment, and utilization of SNAP benefits. Notably, as supported by our findings, higher education institutions could identify community and campus leaders (Laska et al., 2020) who could provide access to food resources, hire a needs-based campus liaison to connect students to food, housing and other resources, or develop other innovative strategies (e.g. campus community gardens, partnerships with WIC) to address the widespread food insecurity issue (Goldrick-Rab et al., 2017). In setting up efficient systems in all higher education institutions, more students could receive access to healthful foods and reduce food insecurity. This in turn could lead to better health and educational outcomes.

In some states, assistance programs such as SNAP and WIC are part of combined application efforts to determine if one qualifies for either. In Missouri, each program is applied for separately, which may partially contribute to the reported difficulty with the application process barriers, including lack of assistance for enrolling in SNAP and unsure of how to answer SNAP application questions. States that have similar systems in place to Missouri could benefit from examining strategies to streamline their SNAP enrollment processes. Future work could examine comparisons by the academic ranking and quality of each institution, which may be proxy measures for the students' socioeconomic status and availability of community resources to address food insecurity.

In Missouri college students across institution type reported lack of knowledge of SNAP as the main barrier for enrollment while assistance from campus resources as the main facilitator. Lack of SNAP knowledge and enrollment barriers contribute to high rates of food insecurity in Missouri college students. In Missouri there is a need for increased attention and resources to target college food insecurity.

References

Asada, Y., Bleiweiss-Sande, R., Barnes, C., Lane, H., & Chriqui, J. F. (2023). In Pursuit of Equitable Access in Federal Food and Nutrition Assistance Programs. *American Journal of Public Health*, 113, S175–S179. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2023.307496

Berger, E., Larsen, J., Freudenberg, N., & Jones, H. E. (2022). Food insecurity associated with educational disruptions during the COVID-19 pandemic for college students and the role of anxiety and depression. *Journal of American College Health*, 72(6), 1684–1687. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2022.2093115

- Bianchi, D. W. (2019, September 18). NICHD Strategic Plan 2020: Healthy pregnancies. Healthy children. Healthy and optimal lives [PowerPoint slides]. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. https://www.nichd.nih.gov/sites/default/files/inline-files/201910 Council NICHD SP 2020.pdf
- Blagg, K., Gundersen, C., Whitmore Schanzenbach, D., & Ziliak, J. P. (2017). Assessing food insecurity on campus [Urban Institute Brief]. Urban Institute.
 - https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/92331/assessing food insecurity on campus 3.pdf
- Budowle, R., Porter, C. M., & McLennan, C. (2023). Justice and equity approaches to college and university student food (in)security: Introduction to the special section. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*, 12(2), 3–9. https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2023.122.013
- Burnside, A., Gilkesson, P., & Baker, P. (2021). Connecting community college students to SNAP: Lessons from states that have expanded SNAP Access and minimized the "work for food" requirement (ED617031). ERIC. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED617031
- Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. (2016). SNAP costs and caseloads declining. https://www.cbpp.org/research/food-assistance/snap-costs-and-caseloads-declining
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]. (2024, June 28). *All about adult BMI*. https://www.cdc.gov/bmi/faq/?CDC AAref Val=https://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/adult bmi/index.html
- Coleman-Jensen, A., Rabbitt, M. P., Gregory, C. A., & Singh, A. (2018). Household food security in the United States in 2017 (ERR-256). U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service. https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/90023/err-256.pdf
- Darby, K. J., Hemmer, L., Holt, R., Kempton, T., del Rosario, M., Stubblefield, J., & Webster, G. (2023). From food access to food sovereignty: Striving to meet university student needs. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*, 12(2), 97–117. https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2023.122.020
- Dickinson, M. (2022). SNAP, campus food insecurity, and the politics of deservingness. *Agriculture and Human V alues*, 39, 605–616. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-021-10273-3
- Esaryk, E. E., Jiménez Arriaga, E. E., Kalaydjian, S., & Martinez, S. M. (2021). Campus food pantry use addresses a gap among California public university students. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, *53*(11), 921–930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2021.06.005
- Esaryk, E. E., Moffat, L. F., Ritchie, L. D., & Martinez, S. M. (2022). Helping college students get Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program: Facilitators of and barriers to students accessing the Federal Nutrition Assistance Program. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 54(5), 422–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2022.02.008
- Farahbakhsh, J., Hanbazaza, M., Ball, G. D. C., Farmer, A. P., Maximova, K., & Willows, N. D. (2017). Food insecure student clients of a university-based food bank have compromised health, dietary intake and academic quality. *Nutrition & Dietetics: Journal of Dietitians Australia*, 74(1), 67–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/1747-0080.12307
- Food Research and Action Center. (n.d.). The positive effect of SNAP benefits on participants and communities. Retrieved 2022 from https://frac.org/programs/supplemental-nutrition-assistance-program-snap/positive-effect-snap-benefits-participants-communities
- Fordham, C., & Baldridge, S. (n.d.). *Perception of SNAP benefits: Implications for policy and practice* [Online survey]. Abilene Christian University. https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/acuSNAP
- Freudenberg, N., Manzo, L., Jones, H., Kwan, A., Tsui, E., & Gagnon, M. (2011). Food insecurity at CUNY: Results from a survey of CUNY undergraduate students.
 - https://food.ucsb.edu/docs/default-source/default-document-library/foodinsecurity-cuny-copy.pdf
- Gaines, A., Robb, C.A., Knol, L.L., & Sickler, S. (2014). Examining the role of financial factors, resources and skills in predicting food security status among college students. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, *38*(4), 374–384. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12110
- Goldrick-Rab, S., Richardson, J., & Hernandez, A. (2017). Hungry and homeless in college: Results from a national study of basic needs insecurity in higher education (ED610982). ERIC. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED610982.pdf

- Hagedorn-Hatfield, R. L., Hood, L. B., & Hege, A. (2022). A decade of college student hunger: What we know and where we need to go. Frontiers in Public Health, 10, Article 837724. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.837724
- Hanna, L. A. (2014). Evaluation of food insecurity among college students. *American International Journal of Contemporary* Research, 4(4), 46–49. http://www.aijcrnet.com/journals/Vol 4 No 4 April 2014/6.pdf
- Hanson, M. (2022). *College enrollment statistics* [2022]: Total + by demographic. Education Data Initiative. https://educationdata.org/college-enrollment-statistics
- Henry, L., Ellis, D., Ellis, S., Fleck, M. J., Migdol, S., Rodriguez, N., Delgado, V., Esmonde, S., Islam, I., Kazaoka, K., Sun, W., & Tajallipour, P. (2023). Experiences of food insecurity among LGBTQIA+ college students in North Texas: Meaning, experiences, and recommendations for inclusive solutions. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*, 12(2), 119–134. https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2023.122.021
- Kornbluh, M., Hallum, S., Wende, M., Ray, J., Herrnstadt, Z., & Kaczynski, A. T. (2022). Examining disparities in food access between Historically Black Colleges and Universities and non-Historically Black Colleges and Universities.

 *American Journal of Health Promotion, 36(1), 165–168. https://doi.org/10.1177/08901171211024412
- Laska, M. N., Fleischhacker, S., Petsoulis, C., Bruening, M., & Stebleton, M. J. (2020). Addressing college food insecurity: An assessment of federal legislation before and during coronavirus disease-2019. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 52(10), 982–987. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2020.07.001
- Leung, C. W., Wolfson, J. A., Lahne, J., Barry, M. R., Kasper, N., & Cohen, A. J. (2019). Associations between food security status and diet-related outcomes among students at a large, public midwestern university. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 119(10), 1623–1631. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2019.06.251
- Miller, M., Middendorf, G., Wood, S., Lutter, S., Jones, S., & Lindshield, B. (2019). Food insecurity and assistance on campus: A survey of the student body. *Online Journal of Rural Research and Policy*, 14(2), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.4148/1936-0487.1097
- Morris, L. M., Smith, S., Davis, J., & Null, D. B. (2016). The prevalence of food security and insecurity among Illinois university students. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 48(6), 376–382.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2016.03.013
- Nazmi, A., Condron, K., Tseng, M., Volpe, R., Rodriguez, L., Lopez, M. L., Martinez, S. M., Freudenberg, N., & Bianco, S. (2023). SNAP participation decreases food insecurity among California public university students: A quasi-experimental study. *Journal of Hunger & Environmental Nutrition*, 18(1), 123–138. https://doi.org/10.1080/19320248.2022.2099777
- Nazmi, A., Martinez, S., Byrd, A., Robinson, D., Bianco, S., Maguire, J., Crutchfield, R. M., Condron, K., & Ritchie, L. (2019). A systematic review of food insecurity among US students in higher education. *Journal of Hunger & Environmental Nutrition*, 14(5), 725–740. https://doi.org/10.1080/19320248.2018.1484316
- Nikolaus, C. J., Ellison, B., & Nickols-Richardson, S. M. (2019). Are estimates of food insecurity among college students accurate? Comparison of assessment protocols. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(4), Article e0215161. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215161
- Parthemer, I. (2020). Annualized salary differences between public and private school attendees. The University of Akron. https://www.uakron.edu/economics/academics/senior-projects/2020/Parthemer-I-SeniorProject2020.pdf
- Patton-López, M. M., López-Cevallos, D. F., Cancel-Tirado, D. I., & Vazquez, L. (2014). Prevalence and correlates of food insecurity among students attending a midsize rural university in Oregon. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 46(3), 209–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2013.10.007
- Ryan, R. A., Murphy, B., Deierlein, A. L., Lal, S., Parekh, N., & Bihuniak, J. D. (2022). Food insecurity, associated health behaviors, and academic performance among urban university undergraduate students. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 54(3), 269–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2021.08.008
- Sackey, J. D., Pike, K., Rothpletz-Puglia, P., Brody, R., & Touger-Decker, R. (2021). Food insecurity among health sciences graduate students at a large northeastern university. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, *53*(5), 428–433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ineb.2020.11.003

- Shi, Y., Davies, A., & Allman-Farinelli, M. (2021). The association between food insecurity and dietary outcomes in university students: A systematic review. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 121(12), 2475-2500.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2021.07.015
- Shisler, R., Cordero Oceguera, E., Hardison-Moody, A., & Bowen, S. (2023). Addressing and preventing food and housing insecurity among college students: An asset-based approach. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*, 12(2), 135–153. https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2023.122.022
- Swipe Out Hunger. (2022). College campus food pantries: Learnings from a 2021 survey. https://www.swipehunger.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/College-Campus-Food-Pantries-Learnings-from-a-2021-Survey.pdf
- U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2019). Food insecurity: Better information could help eligible college students access federal food assistance benefits (GAO-19-95). https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-19-95
- USDA Economic Research Service [ERS]. (2012, September). U.S. Adult Food Security Survey Module: Three-stage design, with screeners. https://www.ers.usda.gov/media/8279/ad2012.pdf
- USDA ERS. (2023a). Food Security in the U.S.: Key statistics & graphics.
 - https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/food-security-in-the-u-s/key-statistics-graphics/
- USDA ERS. (2023b). Food Security in the U.S.: Measurement.
 - https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/food-security-in-the-u-s/measurement/
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [USDHHS]. (n.d.). *Food insecurity*. Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.
 - https://health.gov/healthypeople/priority-areas/social-determinants-health/literature-summaries/food-insecurity
- van Woerden, I., Hruschka, D., & Bruening, M. (2019). Food insecurity negatively impacts academic performance. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 19(3), Article e1864. https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.1864
- Weaver, R. R., Vaughn, N. A., Hendricks, S. P., McPherson-Myers, P. E., Jia, Q., Willis, S. L., & Rescigno, K. P. (2020). University student food insecurity and academic performance. *Journal of American College Health*, 68(7), 727–733. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2019.1600522
- White House, The. (2022). Biden-Harris Administration National Strategy on Hunger, Nutrition, and Health.

 https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/White-House-National-Strategy-on-Hunger-Nutrition-and-Health-FINAL.pdf
- Willis, D. E. (2021). Feeding inequality: Food insecurity, social status and college student health. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 43(1), 220–237. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.13212

Appendix A. Survey Instrument

https://foodsystemsjournal.org

l. Der	nograph	nics						
a	. What	is your age:		years				
b	. Wha	t is your gender: (circl	e one)		Male	Female	Other:	(specify)
c.	What	grade are you in: (cire	cle one	e)		1 7 0 1 1 1 1 1		(0,000)
	Fres	shman		Sophom	ore		Junior	
	Sen	ior		Graduat	e stude	nt	Other:	(specify)
d	. What	is your race/ethnicity	: (circl	e all that	apply)			
	Whi	te/Caucasian	Hispa	anic/Latin	IX	American India Alaska native	an or	Other:
	Blac	ck/African American	Asiar	descent		Native Hawaiia other Pacific Is		(specify)
e. II. Foo a. b.	i. ii. iii. d insecu . Food	insecurity (USDA U.S.	Adult your h has be has be as not has in	Food Sectousehold seen reduction reduction changed creased a	urity Su change ed a lot ed a litt little	rvey Module, at d since the star	tached be	elow) ²
III. Hea	ilth beha	aviors						
a	. Physi	ical activity/exercise ³						
	i. ii.	During the past mon activities or exercise Yes, No, Don't know, How many times per	th, oth s such /Not S week	as runnii ure, Refu or per mo	ng, calis sed onth did	thenics, golf, ga	ardening, n this acti	or walking for exercise?
	iii. iv.	at it?Hours and	oart in minute	this activi es, Don't I	ty, for h know/N	ow many minut ot Sure	es or hou	efused irs did you usually keep you do physical activities
		= :	gthen	your mus	-	•	_	imes per month, Never,

b. Diet, including fruit and vegetable and beverage consumption³

- i. Now think about the foods you ate or drank during the past month, that is, the past 30 days, including meals and snacks. Not including juices, how often did you eat fruit? You can tell me times per day, times per week or times per month. __ Day, __Week, __Month, Less than once a month, Never, Don't Know, Refused
- ii. Not including fruit-flavored drinks or fruit juices with added sugar, how often did you drink 100% fruit juice such as apple or orange juice? __Day, __Week, __ Month, Less than once a month, Never, Don't Know, Refused
- iii. How often did you eat a green leafy or lettuce salad, with or without other vegetables?__ Day, __ Week, __Month, Less than once a month, Never, Don't Know, Refused
- iv. How often did you eat any kind of fried potatoes, including French fries, home fries, or hash browns? __ Day, __Week, __Month, Less than once a month, Never, Don't Know, Refused
- v. How often did you eat any other kind of potatoes, or sweet potatoes, such as baked, boiled, mashed potatoes, or potato salad? __ Day, __ Week, __ Month, Less than once a month, Never, Don't Know, Refused
- vi. Not including lettuce salads and potatoes, how often did you eat other vegetables?

 __ Day, __ Week, __ Month, Less than once a month, Never, Don't Know, Refused

c. Beverage Intake³

- i. During the past 30 days, how many days per week or per month did you have at least one drink of any alcoholic beverage such as beer, wine, a malt beverage or liquor? __Days per week, __ Days in past 30 days, No drinks in past 30 days, Don't know/Not sure, Refused
- ii. One drink is equivalent to a 12-ounce beer, a 5ounce glass of wine, or a drink with one shot of liquor. During the past 30 days, on the days when you drank, about how many drinks did you drink on the average? ___ Number of drinks, None, Don't know/Not sure, Refused

d. Beverage Intake and Breakfast Consumption4

- i. During the past 7 days, how many times did you drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop, such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite? (Do not count diet soda or diet pop.)
 - 1. I did not drink soda or pop during the past 7 days
 - 2. 1 to 3 times during the past 7 days
 - 3. 4 to 6 times during the past 7 days
 - 4. 1 time per day
 - 5. 2 times per day
 - 6. 3 times per day
 - 7. 4 or more times per day
- ii. During the past 7 days, how many **glasses of milk** did you drink? (Count the milk you drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal. Count the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass.)
 - 1. I did not drink milk during the past 7 days
 - 2. 1 to 3 glasses during the past 7 days
 - 3. 4 to 6 glasses during the past 7 days
 - 4. 1 glass per day
 - 5. 2 glasses per day
 - 6. 3 glasses per day
 - 7. 4 or more glasses per day

	iii.	During the past 7 days, on how many days did you eat breakfast? 1. 0 days 2. 1 day 3. 2 days 4. 3 days 5. 4 days 6. 5 days 7. 6 days 8. 7 days
e.	_	ht and Height ³ About how much do you weigh without shoes? Weight (pounds/kilograms), Don't
	1.	know/Not sure, Refused
	ii.	About how tall are you without shoes?/ _ Height (ft/inches/meters/centimeters), Don't
		know/Not sure, Refused
f.	Sleep	patterns ⁵
	i.	On average, how many hours of sleep do you get in a 24-hour period?
g.	Sede	ntary behavior ⁶
	i.	The next questions are about the time you spend sitting while at work, at home, while doing course work and during leisure time. This may include time spent sitting at a desk, visiting friends, reading or sitting or lying down to watch television. 1. During the last 7 days, how much time did you usually spend sitting on a weekday? hours per day minutes per day 2. During the last 7 days, how much time did you usually spend sitting on a weekend day? hours per day hours per day minutes per day
IV. Know	/ledge	and use of SNAP ⁷
a.	_	e do you get your food? (select all that apply)
	i. 	Large grocery/supermarket
	ii.	Local neighborhood market
	iii. iv.	Campus dining options Convenience store
	٧.	Dollar store
	vi.	Fast food
	vii.	Farmer's market
	viii.	Food pantry
	ix.	Grow own
	х.	Other (specify)

- b. Approximately how many miles do you live from the nearest chain grocery store (i.e. Walmart, Aldi, Schnuck's)?
- c. Approximately how much money do you spend on food from the grocery store per month?
- d. Approximately how much money do you spend on eating out (restaurants) per month?
- e. Have you ever had a high school or college class that taught about nutrition? y/n/idk
- f. Do you know what SNAP is? y/n/idk
- g. Have you, and/or your family, ever participated in SNAP in the past? y/n/idk
- h. Do you know if you are eligible for SNAP? y/n/idk
- i. Do you know where to enroll in SNAP? y/n/idk
- j. Do you know how SNAP benefits are delivered to participants? y/n/idk
- k. Have you ever tried to enroll in SNAP? y/n/idk
- I. Have you ever used SNAP to purchase food or beverages? y/n/idk
- m. How long do you think people can be on SNAP?
- n. How much money (in dollars) do you think people on this program are given per month (per person)?
- o. Which of these items do you believe are purchased/covered by SNAP benefits on a regular basis? (select all that apply)
 - i. Perishable foods (produce, dairy, meat, bread)
 - ii. Fruit and vegetable plants
 - iii. Packaged food (chips, crackers, beans, cereals)
 - iv. Canned foods (soup, vegetables)
 - v. Alcoholic beverages
 - vi. Toiletries (soap, toothpaste, etc.)
 - vii. Organic foods
 - viii. Food from farmer's markets
 - ix. Pet food
 - x. Gasoline
 - xi. Lottery tickets
 - xii. Bread
 - xiii. Soft drinks
 - xiv. Cigarettes/tobacco
 - xv. Dessert/snack items (candy, chocolate, ice cream, cake)
 - xvi. Paper goods (toilet tissue, paper towels, napkins)
 - xvii. Kitchen utensils (plates, cups, silverware)
 - xviii. Clothing
 - xix. Prepared foods from grocery stores (fried chicken, French fries, sandwiches)
 - xx. Food from restaurants/fast foods

- p. Which of these items do you believe SHOULD be covered by SNAP benefits? (select all that apply)
 - i. Perishable foods (produce, dairy, meat, bread)
 - ii. Fruit and vegetable plants
 - iii. Packaged food (chips, crackers, beans, cereals)
 - iv. Canned foods (soup, vegetables)
 - v. Alcoholic beverages
 - vi. Toiletries (soap, toothpaste, etc.)
 - vii. Organic foods
 - viii. Food from farmer's markets
 - ix. Pet food
 - x. Gasoline
 - xi. Lottery tickets
 - xii. Bread
 - xiii. Soft drinks
 - xiv. Cigarettes/tobacco
 - xv. Dessert/snack items (candy, chocolate, ice cream, cake)
 - xvi. Paper goods (toilet tissue, paper towels, napkins)
 - xvii. Kitchen utensils (plates, cups, silverware)
 - xviii. Clothing
 - xix. Prepared foods from grocery stores (fried chicken, French fries, sandwiches)
 - xx. Food from restaurants/fast foods
- q. Rate your agreement with the following statement: "the national government should spend more money to support people on the SNAP program?"
 - i. Strongly agree
 - ii. Agree
 - iii. Neutral
 - iv. Disagree
 - v. Strongly disagree

V. Barriers/Facilitators to using SNAP

- a. In general, what are barriers or challenges for college students enrolling in and using SNAP? Select all that apply:
 - i. Lack of knowledge about SNAP
 - ii. Negative stigma associated with enrolling or using SNAP benefits
 - iii. Lack of access to SNAP
 - iv. Not eligible for SNAP benefits
 - v. Lack of assistance for enrolling in SNAP
 - vi. Lack of time to enroll in SNAP
 - vii. Unsure of where to purchase food using SNAP funds
 - viii. Unsure of what foods are allowed under SNAP
 - ix. Lack transportation to enroll in SNAP
 - x. Lack transportation to retailers that accept SNAP funds
 - xi. Not safe due to COIVD-19 concerns

xii.	Lack of accessibility due to COVID-19
xiii.	Takes too long to determine eligibility
xiv.	None of my friends or family are enrolled in SNAP
XV.	Lack government-issued ID
xvi.	Unsure how to answer SNAP application questions
xvii.	Other (please specify:)
In ger	neral, what are facilitators or helpful factors for college students enrolling in and using SNAP?
Selec	t all that apply:
i.	University assistance to enroll in SNAP
ii.	Available resources on campus
iii.	Available community resources to enroll in SNAP
iv.	Clear information for how to enroll in SNAP
٧.	Clear information for where to use SNAP benefits
vi.	University website for SNAP assistance
vii.	State website for enrolling in SNAP
viii.	Easy to determine eligibility
ix.	I have friends and/or family enrolled in SNAP
х.	Having multiple sites to submit SNAP applications
xi.	Other (specify:)

- VI. Are you interested in participating in anonymous, online focus groups to further explore these topics?
 - a. Yes or No

b.

- i. If yes, please provide:
 - 1. Name
 - 2. Email
 - 3. Age
 - 4. Gender
 - 5. Race/Ethnicity

Appendix B.

Table B1. Knowledge and Use of SNAP Reported by Missouri College Students in the SNAP for U Study, 2021–2022

Variable	Response options	Total sample (n, %)	Public (n, %)	HBCU (n, %)	Private (n, %)	Community college (n, %)	Tech school (n, %)
Know what SNAP is	Yes	505 (67.9%)	256 (69.6%)	88 (78.6%)	23 (51.1%)	127 (67.9%)	11 (34.4%)
(n = 744)	No	204 (27.4%)	91 (24.7%)	21 (18.8%)	20 (44.4%)	55 (29.4%)	17 (53.1%)
	Not sure/ Don't know	35 (4.7%)	21 (5.7%)	3 (2.7%)	2 (4.4%)	5 (2.7%)	4 (12.5%)
Ever participated in SNAP	Yes	323 (43.1%)	182 (48.9%)	63 (55.8%)	7 (15.6%)	65 (34.6%)	6 (18.8%)
(n = 750)	No	378 (50.4%)	163 (43.8%)	45 (39.8%)	34 (75.6%)	115 (61.2%)	21 (65.6%)
	Not sure/ Don't know	49 (6.5%)	27 (7.3%)	5 (4.4%)	4 (8.9%)	8 (4.3%)	5 (15.6%)
Know if eligible for SNAP	Yes	257 (34.3%)	158 (42.6%)	41 (36.3%)	5 (11.1%)	48 (25.5%)	5 (15.6%)
(n = 749)	No	281 (37.5%)	128 (34.5%)	32 (28.3%)	23 (51.1%)	77 (41.0%)	21 (65.6%)
	Not sure/ Don't know	211 (28.2%)	85 (22.9%)	40 (35.4%)	17 (37.8%)	63 (33.5%)	6 (18.8%)
Know where to enroll in SNAP	Yes	339 (45.4%)	181 (49.1%)	58 (51.3%)	7 (15.6%)	86 (45.7%)	7 (21.9%)
(n = 747)	No	328 (43.9%)	152 (41.2%)	39 (34.5%)	34 (75.6%)	83 (44.1%)	20 (62.5%)
	Not sure/ Don't know	80 (10.7%)	36 (9.8%)	16 (14.2%)	4 (8.9%)	19 (10.1%)	5 (15.6%)
Know where SNAP benefits are	Yes	342 (45.7%)	171 (46.2%)	64 (56.6%)	12 (26.7%)	89 (47.3%)	6 (18.8%)
delivered (n = 748)	No	332 (44.4%)	153 (41.4%)	36 (31.9%)	31 (68.9%)	89 (47.3%)	23 (71.9%)
(11 – 140)	Not sure/ Don't know	74 (9.9%)	46 (12.4%)	13 (11.5%)	2 (4.4%)	10 (5.3%)	3 (9.4%)
Ever tried to enroll in SNAP	Yes	285 (38.0%)	167 (44.9%)	53 (46.9%)	2 (4.4%)	58 (30.9%)	5 (15.6%)
(n = 750)	No	435 (58.0%)	191 (51.3%)	51 (45.1%)	42 (93.3%)	126 (67.0%)	25 (78.1%)
	Not sure/ Don't know	30 (4.0%)	14 (3.8%)	9 (8.0%)	1 (2.2%)	4 (2.1%)	2 (6.3%)
Ever purchased anything using	Yes	263 (35.1%)	153 (41.2%)	51 (45.1%)	2 (4.4%)	52 (27.7%)	5 (15.6%)
SNAP benefits (n = 749)	No	460 (61.4%)	204 (55.0%)	58 (51.3%)	40 (88.9%)	132 (70.2%)	26 (81.3%)
(11 – 149)	Not sure/ Don't know	26 (3.5%)	14 (3.8%)	4 (3.5%)	3 (6.7%)	4 (2.1%)	1 (3.1%)

	Response					Community college	
Variable	options	Total sample (n, %)	Public (n, %)	HBCU (n, %)	Private (n, %)	(n, %)	Tech school (n, %)
Government should spend more	Strongly agree	208 (27.8%)	130 (35.0%)	29 (25.7%)	13 (28.3%)	30 (16.0%)	6 (19.4%)
to support SNAP (n = 749)	Agree	257 (34.3%)	154 (41.5%)	47 (41.6%)	13 (28.3%)	37 (19.7%)	6 (19.4%)
(11 – 143)	Neutral	219 (29.2%)	72 (19.4%)	30 (26.5%)	14 (30.4%)	92 (48.9%)	11 (35.5%)
	Disagree	47 (6.3%)	13 (3.5%)	7 (6.2%)	4 (8.7%)	17 (9.0%)	6 (19.4%)
	Strongly disagree	18 (2.4%)	2 (0.5%)	-	2 (4.3%)	12 (6.4%)	2 (6.5%)